## ON THE NUMBER OF BOOKS IN OVID'S METAMORPHOSES: A POSTSCRIPT

I have no doubt that Elena Merli is right to argue that Ovid's choice of a fifteen-book structure for the Metamorphoses was intended to signal, to those equipped to take the hint, 'its proper distance from the traditional epic which is instead characterized by a number corresponding to a multiple of six' (CQ 54 [2004], 306). But that, I suggest, is only half the story. The Metamorphoses forms half of a literary diptych, as Philip Hardie has pointed out: 'taken together the Fasti and the Metamorphoses represent Ovid's typically indirect answer to the challenge of Virgil's epic, on the other hand a Callimachean elegy on the central subject of the Aeneid and on the other a hexameter epic on themes for the most part not Roman' (MD 26 [1991], 47). To that elegiac epic in fifteen books an epicizing elegy in twelve (as planned) is clearly complementary in both scale and structure. The number of books in the Fasti is dictated by the calendrical scheme: were scheme and subject suggested to Ovid by the fact that there were twelve months in the Roman year and twelve books in the Aeneid? As to that, one can only speculate; it was at least a happy accident, enabling a poet writing for readers alert to generic nuance to invest his monumental combined chef d'oeuvre in a form which signalled to the doctus lector its highly original—I am tempted to say subversive—character.

Peterhouse, Cambridge

E.J. KENNEY doi:10.1093/cq/bmi069

## AENEID 1.647-55

G. N. Knauer's great Die Aeneis und Homer<sup>1</sup> has such vast sweep and profound depth, detecting and elucidating not merely the obvious Homeric ties to the Aeneid but also the most subtle instances of influence, that one is almost incredulous if one thinks that he has found an example of Homeric influence on the Aeneid that has eluded Knauer (and, it goes without saying, all the commentators). With due incredulity, I note the following.

Aeneas dispatches Achates to bring gifts for Queen Dido. Servius already commented on the peculiar nature of the gifts: quamuis apta nupturae reginae sint munera, tamen futurorum malorum continere omen uidentur (1.653); uide iam omen infelicitatis futurae, cum adulterae Dido suscipit munera. Modern commentators follow suit, e.g. Conway, 'The origin of these gifts ... of course carried an evil omen (especially those from Helen's wardrobe)' (at 1.650),<sup>2</sup> and Austin, 'The sinister character of the gift is further underlined in inconcessos hymenaeos' (at 1.650); 'Aeneas' gifts to Dido could scarcely have been charged with more ominous associations' (at 653).3 Let us look at the first set of gifts: pallam signis auroque rigentem/et circumtextum croceo uelamen acantho,/ornatus Argiuae Helenae, quos illa Mycenis,/Pergama cum peteret inconcessosque hymenaeos, /extulerat. What do we have here? A gift of garments for a woman, at some level conceived of as wedding presents from groom to bride (as Servius observed), and deriving from none other than the paradigmatic adulteress herself, Helen. This already occurs in the Odyssey (15.104ff.). When Telemachus visits Menelaus in Sparta, Helen selects a lovely garment of her own

Göttingen, 1964.
R. S. Conway, P. Vergili Maronis Aeneidos Liber Primus (Cambridge, 1935), 112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R. G. Austin, P. Vergili Maronis Aeneidos Liber Primus (Oxford, 1971), 198.